

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,793	COX ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Roy M. Punnoose.

(3) _____.

(2) Atty. Earl H. Baugher, Jr. (Reg.No. 40,905).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2006

Time: 9:50 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

22

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Atty. Earl H. Baugher, Jr. (Reg.No. 40,905) agreed to an Examiner's amendment to correct the duplication of claim numbering with respect to claim 22. The claim number of the second claim 22 on line 20 of page 23 has been amended to claim 27.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)